

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4620 of 2019

=====

Ranjeet Kumar, aged about 30 years, S/o Umesh Ram, Resident of Village-
Ratehpur, P.S.-Parasbigha, District-Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Bihar Prohibition and Excise, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector-cum- District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
3. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Jehanabad.
4. The Superintendent of Excise, Jehanabad.
5. The Officer in Charge, Shakurabad P.S., District-Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kunwar Digvijay Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Manish (SC5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 29-03-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner prays for provisional release of the
Tempoo bearing Registration No. BR025P-8745, which has been
seized in connection with Shakurabad P.S. Case No. 23 of 2018
for the offences punishable under Section 30 (a) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that
confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated and the vehicle is



lying under the open sky in the police station. The seizure list reflects the seizure of 110 liters of Mahua liquor.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that the confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the designated Court below with one surety along with a Bank Guarantee or original title deed of immovable property situated within the district to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the surety and the bank guarantee or the original title deed, as the case may be, shall also furnish the following affidavits / undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding, if any, and shall



not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a *Panchanama* would be got prepared by the designated Court below, wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future, if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said *Panchanama* in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of production of ownership/registration papers supporting the claim of the petitioner together with one surety along with the bank guarantee to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance amount and the undertakings as stated above. This release would, however, be subject to initiation and finalisation of the confiscation proceeding. The title deed papers shall remain in safe custody of the Court below subject to final decision in the confiscation proceedings.



With the observations/directions above, this writ
petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Nasimul/Shailendra

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	09-04-2019
Transmission Date	N/A

