

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3206 of 2019

=====

Rinku Kumari, aged about 20 years, Gender-Female, Wife of Rajnish Kumar,
Resident of Village-Salemu Bigha, P.O.-Eksara, P.S.-Ben, Ward No.-7,
District- Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Social Welfare, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Directorate of Integrated Child Development Scheme, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, District-Nalanda.
4. The District Programme Officer, Nalanda.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Ben, Nalanda.
6. The Ward Member-cum-President, Selection Committee, Ben, Nalanda.
7. The Ward Panch-cum-Deputy President, Selection Committee, Ben, Nalanda.
8. The Female Supervisor-cum-Member Secretary, Selection Committee, Ben, Nalanda.
9. Madhuri Sinha, W/o Ram Krishna Prasad.
10. Manju Kumari, W/o of Rakesh Kumar.

Both 9 and 10 are resident of Village-Salemu Bigha, P.O.-Eksara, P.S.-Ben,
Ward No.-7, District- Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nishant Kumar Sinha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Md.Raisul Haque (SC10)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 20-02-2019



The petitioner, pursuant to an advertisement issued in the year 2018, applied for being selected on the post of Anganbari Sevika for the concerned Centre and was declared to be successful with first position in the merit-list. Despite this, private respondent No. 10 was appointed on the post of Anganbari Sevika.

2. The petitioner has challenged the aforesaid appointment, bypassing her claim, before the District Programme Officer, Nalanda along with the details of her grievances, but till date, the complaint/representation of the petitioner has not been disposed off by the District Programme Officer, Nalanda.

3. Under the aforesaid circumstances, this Court directs the petitioner to make a fresh representation/complaint before the District Programme Officer, Nalanda (respondent No. 4), detailing her grievances and citing grounds that private respondent No. 10 has wrongly been appointed in her place as Anganbari Sevika, within a period of four weeks from today. On receipt of such representation/complaint, the concerned respondent shall,



after hearing the parties, *i.e.*, the petitioner, the private respondent No. 10 and other stake holders and after perusing the records, pass a reasoned order within a further period of six weeks thereafter.

4. With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	23.02.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

