

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5334 of 2019

=====

Rakesh Kumar Son of Sri Ramvilas Ram, Residence of Village and P.O.-
Kamarpur, P.S.- Buxar Muffasil (Chansa), District- Buxar, Pin- 802102
... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Sachiwalya, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary of Foods and Supply Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Commissioner, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Buxar.
5. The District Food Supply Officer, Buxar.
6. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Buxar.
7. The Sub Divisional Food Supply Officer, Buxar.
8. The Block Food Supply Officer, Buxar.
9. The Block Development Officer, Buxar.
10. Ranjeet Kumar Son of Sri Ram Eqbal Ram
11. Sri Kapil Ram Son of Late Jagdama Ram, Resident of Village- Laxmipur, P.O.- Kamarpur, District- Buxar.
12. Sri Bhagwan Ram Son of Sri Bhulu Ram, Resident of Village and P.O.- Kamarpur, P.S.- Buxar (M), District- Buxar.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Anisul Haque, AC to AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 07-11-2019 Petitioner in the present case is challenging the grant of license of Public Distribution System Shop to the private respondent nos. 11 and 12. He is also aggrieved by ignorance of his candidature for the grant of license.

No one appears on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the State is present and submits that the petitioner seems to have submitted an objection to the merit list



vide his notice dated 22.09.2018 as contained in Annexure '5' to the writ application. It is submitted that he also seems to have been pursuing the matter with the District Magistrate who is the appellate authority in terms of Rule 32 of the Bihar Targeted P.D.S. (Control) Order, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as the 'Control Order, 2016'), therefore, a direction may be issued to the District Magistrate, Buxar (Respondent No. 4) to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take an appropriate view of the matter after hearing all the stock holders.

In the given facts and circumstances of the case, this writ application is being disposed off with a direction to the District Magistrate, Buxar (Respondent No. 4) to look into Annexure '5' and the subsequent representation of the petitioner which is part of this writ application treating them as an appeal of the petitioner in terms of Rule 32 of the Control Order, 2016, examine the same and pass an appropriate order after hearing the petitioner as well as private respondent nos. 11 and 12. Let the whole exercise be completed within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

vats/ved

U			
---	--	--	--

