

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17060 of 2018

=====

Jaynandan Ray @ Jaynandan Rai, Son of Ramdev Ray @ Ram Dev Rai,
Resident of Village- Simra, Ward No. 4, P.S. Dumra, District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Excise Department, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Collector/District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Sitamarhi.
4. The Excise Superintendent, Sitamarhi.
5. The Office-in-charge, Sonbarsa, P.S., District- Sitamarhi.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Alok Kumar Alok
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vivek Prasad- Gp7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 04-02-2019

Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
and learned counsel appearing for the State.

The writ petition is filed praying for release of
T.V.S. Sport Motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR-30J-1436,
which has been seized in connection with Sonbarsa P.S. Case
No. 174 of 2016 instituted for the offence under sections 272,
273 Indian Penal Code and section 47(A) of the Bihar
Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

Much prior to the filing of the writ petition before
this Court, the confiscation proceeding had been initiated



against the petitioner bearing Confiscation Case No.15 of 2017 and final order of confiscation has already been passed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner and the alleged recovery is from one Ras Narayan Rai.

Since the final order has been passed in the confiscation case, we allow the petitioner to question the same before the Appellate Authority within a period of 30 days from today. In case such an appeal is preferred within the aforesaid period accompanied with an application for condonation of delay, the appellate authority shall consider the same keeping in mind that the petitioner was prosecuting his remedy before this Court and the appeal shall be heard on its own merit and disposed of expeditiously.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage, submits that because the appellate authority has no power to pass an interim order of release, he would press this application for a provisional release of the vehicle in question.

Learned counsel for the State is not in a position to dispute the same because the Act does not allow grant of interim relief by the statutory authority.



Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that final orders have been passed in the confiscation proceeding, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the court below with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a Panchanama would be prepared by the court below wherein the photograph



of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties and the undertakings as stated above. This release would however be subject to the order passed in appeal and in case the petitioner fails to take recourse to the appellate remedy within the time allowed, the release order shall stand recalled with liberty to the confiscating authority to proceed in accordance with law.

With the observations/directions above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

mcv/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	11.02.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

