

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3372 of 2019

=====

Upendra Kumar, Son of Kanhaiya Sah, Resident of Village-P.O.-Bhawanipur
Bazar, P.S.-Nakardei, District-East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Secretary to Govt. of Bihar, Food and Consumer Protection Department,
Bihar, Patna Bihar
3. The Collector of District Cum Chairman, District Selection Committee, East
Champaran at Motihari
4. The District Supply Officer, Food and Consumer Protection Departments
Officer, at Moihari Bihar
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Raxaul, East Champaran
6. Mohan Prasad Son of Ragubir Prasad, Resident of Village-Bhawanipur
Bazar, P.S. Nakardei, District -East Champaran

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Binay Kant Mani Tripathi, Adv. Mr. Ajay Kumar Mishra, Adv. Miss Ajita, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Md. Anisul Haque, AC to AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 28-06-2019 Petitioner, in the present case, is aggrieved by and

dissatisfied with the selection of respondent no.6 as PDS Dealer.

It is the case of the petitioner that his candidature for the PDS
licence has been refused arbitrarily and without taking care of
the objection which he had filed before the S.D.O., Raxaul, East
Champaran.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has also raised his grievance against the selection
before the District Magistrate, East Champaran vide Annexure-5



to the writ application which is still pending.

Learned counsel for the State submits that since the District Magistrate, East Champaran (respondent no.3) is the statutory authority under the Bihar Targeted PDS (Control) Order, 2016 to look into the grievance if any raised against the selection of PDS Dealer, an appropriate direction may be issued to him to look into Annexure-5 and dispose of the same.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State, this Court directs the Collector-cum-District Magistrate, East Champaran (respondent no.3) to look into the grievance of the petitioner as contained in Annexure-5 to the writ application and take an appropriate decision thereon within a period of three months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

It goes without saying that while passing the appropriate order all concerned persons likely to be affected by such order will be heard.

This writ application stands disposed off accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

