

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9025 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-201 Year-2016 Thana- SONO District- Jamui

=====

PURAN MURMUR @ PURAN MUMRU @ PURAN MURMU Son of
Bakko Murmur @ Baku Murmu R/o village- Nihaldih, P.S- Chakai, District
Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satya Prakash Parasar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Akshay Lal Pandit

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

3 01-05-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. This the third attempt of the petitioner, who is in custody since 12.12.2016, for grant of bail in connection with Sessions Trial No. 153 of 2017, arising out of Sono P.S. Case No. 201 of 2016 for the offences alleged under Sections 120B, 121A, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 3 and 4 of the Explosives Substances Act and Sections 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22 of U.A.P. Act having earlier been rejected by this Court twice by order dated 09.10.2017 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 37621 of 2018 and order dated 29.08.2018 in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 46997 of 2018, respectively.

3. Pursuant to order dated 27.02.2019 status report has been received from the learned trial Court, according to



which summon and letter to the Superintendent of Police, Jamui for production of witnesses have been issued and the next date was fixed on 05.03.2019.

4. Learned APP submits that the Superintendent of Police, Jamui would take urgent steps for production of witnesses before the learned trial Court.

5. Having regard to the submission made on behalf of the parties, this Court is not inclined to grant the privilege of bail to the petitioner. The bail petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned trial Court to take up and conclude the trial expeditiously, in any event within a period of four months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that if the trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period of four months the petitioner shall be at liberty to seek bail thereafter before the learned Court below itself which shall be decided on its own merits, in accordance with law.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

