

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.6913 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2018 Thana- CHANDAN District- Banka

Nirmal Kumar Ray, Male, aged about 45 years, S/o Late Bisheshwar Prasad Ray, Village-Amarpur,P.S-Jasidih, District- Deoghar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Usha Rai, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Bharat Lal (APP)

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR**

ORAL ORDER

4      20-02-2019                      Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Chandan P.S. Case No. 72 of 2018 (G.R. No. 1709 of 2018) registered for the offences punishable under Sections 467, 468, 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against petitioner is that while he was posted as Circle Inspector at Chandan Circle, he had made a forged paper and issued Land Possession Certificate (L.P.C.) in the name of Pappu Yadav with respect of land situated at Mauza Birnia P.S. No. 170, Khata no. 37, Khesra no. 164/552, area 1.90 acre, which land was Gairmajaura Mokridar Type-Forest.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been



falsely implicated in this case. Petitioner is not concerned with the Pappy Yadav and with the L.P.C. it has been submitted that L.P.C. was issued by the Circle Officer upon the report of Halka Karmchari not by the petitioner. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 29.12.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Banka, in connection with Chandan P.S. Case No. 72 of 2018, subject to conditions:

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be



at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the  
petitioner.

**(S. Kumar, J)**

Rajiv/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

