

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4525 of 2019

=====

Sobha Kumari, wife of Rajesh Kumar, daughter of Lahari Choudhary,
Permanent Address P.O. and P.S.-Kudra, District-Kaimur at Bhabhua. Present
Address Q.N. D.T. 985 DAM Side, Dhurwa, Ranchi Jharkhand 834004

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate- cum-Collector, Kaimur, at Bhabhua.
4. The District Programme Officer, Kaimur, at Bhabhua.
5. The Child Development Project Officer, Kudra, District Kaimur, at Bhabhua.
6. The Block Development Officer, Kudra District Kaimur, at Bhabhua.
7. The District Panchayat Officer, Kaimur, at Bhabhua.
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Devrath Kala Khurd, District Kaimur at Bhabhua.
9. The Sub Divisional Officer, Kudra District-Kaimur, at Bhabhua.
10. Smt. Kiran, Anganbari Superwiser, Karma Panchayat Devrath Khurd, District Kaimur, at Bhabhua.
11. Sunita Kumari, wife of Jairam Bind, resident of Village Karma, P.O. and P.S.-Kudra, District Kaimur at Bhabhua.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Gupta
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prasant Pratap (GP-2)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 26-03-2019

The petitioner, who was an applicant/aspirant for being appointed as *Anganbari Sevika* is aggrieved by the fact that private respondent No. 11 has been appointed on the said post despite her being way down in the merit list.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that her name



figured at serial No. 3 in the merit list which was prepared whereas private respondent No. 11 was at serial No. 7 in the said merit list. Because of machinations of private respondent No. 10, an *Anganbari* Supervisor, respondent No. 11, who was already working as *Anganbari Sahayika* was wrongly adjusted on the post of *Anganbari Sevika* for which the petitioner was entitled. A complaint in that regard was made to all the authorities including the District Programme Officer, Kaimur at Bhabhua (respondent No. 4) which have yet not been looked at or responded to.

3. Under the aforesaid circumstances, this Court directs the petitioner to make a fresh complaint/representation before the District Programme Officer, Kaimur at Bhabhua (respondent No. 4) within a period of four weeks from today, detailing her grievances, who, on receipt of such complaint/representation shall dispose off the representation by a reasoned order, after affording opportunity of hearing to all respective parties within a further period of six weeks thereafter.

4. Should the petitioner feel aggrieved by the order



of the District Programme Officer, Kaimur at Bhabhua
(respondent No. 4), she would have further opportunity of
assailing such order of the District Programme Officer before
the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Kaimur at Bhabhua
(respondent No. 3).

5. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ
petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Shageer/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	02/04/2019

