

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9208 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-121 Year-2018 Thana- CHAUTHAM District- Khagaria

MITHILESH SADA Umesh Sada village-Kathmara, P.S-Chautham, District-Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Binod Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 08-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Chautham P.S. Case No. 121 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Section 457 and 380 of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant has alleged in her written complaint that on 26.06.2018 at about 9:00 PM petitioner who is the driver of informant has committed theft after break open the wall in the night and took away Rs. 50,000/- , mobile and some jewelry from his house.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case and has not committed any offence. He was driver of the informant and on



account of dispute of wages he has been falsely implicated in this case. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 27.09.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria, in connection with Chautham P.S. Case No. 121 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

