

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.8196 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-144 Year-2017 Thana- RAJNAGAR District- Madhubani

=====

RANJEET MAHTO @ RANJEET KUMAR MAHTO Son of Ramfal Mahto,
Resident of Village - Jathi , PO. - Simari, P.S. Rajnagar, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gagan Deo Yadav
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Lalan Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 15-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in
connection with Raj Nagar P.S. Case No. 144 of 2017 registered
for the offence punishable under Section 302, 201/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

Petitioner had earlier moved this Court for regular
bail vide Criminal Miscellaneous No. 13304 of 2018 which was
rejected on 26.04.2018.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
petitioner is not named in the FIR and has been apprehended
only after recovery of the mobile of the deceased from his
possession whereas the informant was not the eye witness, he
raised only suspicion against the FIR named accused persons,



however, the involvement of the petitioner was found because after death of the deceased, his mobile was recovered from him. Petitioner is in custody since 15.11.2017.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Madhubani, in connection with Raj Nagar P.S. Case No. 144 of 2017 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

