

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3170 of 2019

=====

Sunaina Kumari, W/o Sanjeet Ram, Resident of Village-Ajagarwa,P.s.
Banjariya,Dist.-East Champaran,Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary,Food and Civil Supply Department,Govt. of Bihar,Patna
2. The Principle Secretary, Food and Civil Supply Department,Govt. of Bihar,Patna
3. The Director, Food and Civil Supply Department,Govt. of Bihar,Patna
4. The District Magistrate, East Chaparan,Motihari
5. The District Supply Officer, East Champaran,Motihari
6. The sub Divisional Officer, Dist.-East Champaran,Motihari
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar,Motihari-Cum-Chairman of Selection Committee for the PDS license within the Sadar Motihari,Dist.-Motihari
8. The Assistant District Supply Officer, Sadar,Dist.-East Champaran,Motihari
9. Sobha Devi W/o Mohan Ram,D/o Ramashray Ram Resident of Village-Ajagarwa,P.S. Banjariya,Dist.-East Champaran,Motihari

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sharda Nand Mishra
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Alok Ranjan, AC to AAG-5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 25-06-2019 The petitioner has lodged a complaint with the Sub-Divisional Officer Sadar, District-East Champaran at Motihari (respondent no.6) saying that the private respondent no.9 has obtained selection as PDS Dealer on the basis of the forged certificate. The petitioner claims that she had filed an application under Right to Information Act requesting the Assistant District Supply Officer, Sadar East Champaran to make available a copy of the certificate of respondent no.9. She



has obtained certain information thereon vide Annexure-4 to the writ application and thereafter filed her objection with the Sub-Divisional Officer vide Annexure-5, 6 and 7 to the writ application. She has also lodged a complaint vide Annexure-8, but the grievance of the petitioner is that her complaint has not been looked into and it has remained unattended so far.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the respondent no.9 has been granted licence considering her educational qualification of intermediate in which she has secured higher percentage than the petitioner. It is further submitted that the complaint lodged by the petitioner regarding genuineness of the certificate has been sent to the District Education Officer, East Champaran for verification and further action in the matter will depend upon the outcome of the enquiry.

In view of the stand taken in the counter affidavit stating that the complaint of the petitioner has been sent for enquiry and further action will be taken based on the enquiry report, no further order is required to be passed by this Court save and except to say that the officer concerned shall act independently and would conclude the enquiry in this regard within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt/production of



a copy of this order. In course of enquiry, the parties shall also
be heard.

The writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

arvind/-

U			
---	--	--	--

