

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.357 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9521 of 2008

-
-
1. Sudhir Kumar Jha, aged about 41 years, (Male), S/o - Surendra Mohan Jha, R/o - Murlichand, P.S.-Udakishanganj, District -Madhepur
 2. Anjani Kumar, S/o- Ram Kishore Sharma, R/o - Vill+ P.S.-Salempur, District -Gaya, Pin-824235
 3. Ashok Pandey, S/o - Brij Bihari Pandey, R/o- Vill. + P.O. - Nimez, District-Buxar, Pin-802130

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Principal Home Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Directorate General of Police Bihar, Patna
3. The Inspector General of Police , Tirhut Range, Muzaffarpur
4. The Inspector General of Police, Darbhanga Range, Chaapra
5. The Inspector General of Police Purnea Range, Darbhanga
6. The Inspector General of Police, Koshil Range, Purnea
7. The Inspector General of Police, Munger Range, Saharsa
8. The Inspector General of Police, Saran Range, Munger
9. The Inspector General of Police, Saran Range, Gaya
10. The Inspector General of Police, Saran Range, Dehri-on-Sone
11. The Inspector General of Police, Saran Range, Dehri-on-Sone
12. Staff Selection Commission through its Secretary, Bihar, Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Sri Rajeev Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : A.C. to G.A. No. 4

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 20-02-2019 Heard Sri Rajeev Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the petitioners and learned A.C. to G.A. No. 4.

The present petition has been filed with a prayer to restore an interlocutory application i.e. I.A. No. 9555 of 2014 which was filed in C.W.J.C. No. 9521 of 2008 with a prayer to allowing the petitioners to implead certain persons as respondents. In the writ petition interlocutory application was



allowed with peremptory order on 06.03.2017. Since peremptory order was not complied with the interlocutory application I.A. No. 9555 of 2014 filed for impleading respondents nos. 13 to 37 stood dismissed. Though interlocutory application stood dismissed in the month of March, 2017 itself and writ petition thereafter was taken up on number of dates, the present restoration petition was filed on 24.01.2019 without any plausible explanation, and as such, there is no reason to entertain the present restoration petition. Accordingly, the restoration petition stands dismissed.

It goes without saying that interlocutory application i.e. I.A. No. 9555 of 2014 was not dismissed on merit.

(Rakesh Kumar, J)

praful/-

U			
---	--	--	--

