

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Writ Jurisdiction Case No.746 of 2018

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-898 Year-2016 Thana- SAHARSA District- Saharsa

Sudha Singh wife of Late Ashok Kumar Singh, Resident of Mission House,
Mission Compound, Purab Bazar, P.S. Saharsa, District Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar Through The Principal Secretary, Department Of Home,
Govt. Of Bihar, Patna
2. The Director General of Police, Patna, Bihar.
3. The Superintendent of Police, Saharsa, Bihar.
4. The Officer In-charge, Police Station Saharsa, District Saharsa.
5. Kamlesh Singh, Sub- Inspector of Police cum the Investigation Officer,
Saharsa Sadar Police Station
6. Smt. Manorama Singh, wife of Late Tarkeshwar Prasad Singh, Resident of
Mission House, Mission Compound, P.O. Saharsa, District Saharsa. also
residing at Village- Rakia, P.O. Rakia, P.S. Bihra, District- Saharsa.
7. United Bank of India through its Branch Manager, Shiv Complex, Prashant
Cinema Road, Ward No. 19, P

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Shakti Suman Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent No.7:	Dr. Binod Bihari Sinha, Adv.
	Mr. Ajay Dutt Mishra, Adv.
	Mr. Amarjeet Choudhary, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 29-07-2019 This application under Articles 226 and 227 of the

Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner for

restraining the respondent no.5 from collecting the details of

deposit kept in the bank account of the petitioner and her

husband late Ashok Kumar Singh in United Bank of India,

Saharsa in the garb of investigation of Saharsa Sadar P.S. Case

No.898 of 2016.



At the outset, learned counsel for the informant submitted that the police have already completed investigation and submitted their report in the court. The cognizance of the offences has already been taken and, after complying with the police report, charges have also been framed against the petitioner and others. He submitted that since the trial has proceeded, the instant application, which relates to investigation, has become infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the fact that trial has already commenced.

In view of the subsequent developments, which took place during pendency of the present application, the application has become infructuous. It is accordingly disposed of.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J)

Pradeep/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

