

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4508 of 2019

1. Raj Kumar Ram, age 36 years, Son of Kishan Lal Ram, Resident of Village and P.O. Dalmalpur, Via- Joki Hat, P.S. Amour, Distt. Purnia, State Bihar
2. Kishun Prasad Yadav, age 42 years, Son of Gulab Chandra Yadav, Resident of Village-Ufrail, P.O. Khokha, P.S. Sri Nagar, Distt. Purnea.
3. Manju Kumari, age 43 years, Wife of Pancha Nand Das, Resident of Village and P.O. and P.S.- Barhara Kothi, Distt. Purnea.
4. Kameshwar Jamadar, age 39 years, Son of Lachhu Jammadar, Resident of Village-Harishchandrapur, P.O. and P.S. Jalalgarh, District- Purnea.
5. Rajesh Kumar Yadav, age 44 years, Son of Sadanand Yadav, Resident of Village- Sarochiya, P.O. Garh Banaili, P.S. Kasba, Distt. Purnea.
6. Md. Musawwir Alam, age 41 years, Son of Abdul Halim, Resident of Village- Bishari, P.O. Soura Jaber, Via- Baisi, Block,P.S. Baisi, District- Purnea.
7. Gopal Kumar Ram, age 48 years, Son of Ram Swarup Ram, Resident of Village- Gehua, P.O. Makaili Belagachhi, P.S. Dagarua, Distt. Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Member-Cum-Secretary, Akhsha Anchal Yojna (Selection Committee) Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Mass Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Purnea.
5. The District Education officer, Purnea.
6. The District Programme Officer, Literacy-Cum-Member, District Lok Shiksha Samiti, Purnea.
7. The Block Education Officer-Cum-Member- Cum-(Selection Committee), Lok Shiksha Samiti, Purnea.
8. The Prakhand Pramukh-Cum-Chairman, Lok Shiksha Samiti, Purnea.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar, AC to GP-23

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT



Date : 07-05-2019

The petitioners have approached this Court for a direction to the respondent authorities to absorb them on Class-III or Class-IV posts, which is available after giving them relaxation of age, taking into account that they had rendered their services in a project sponsored by the Government for about seven years and which project has now been abandoned.

2. Mr. Mukesh Kumar, the learned counsel for the petitioners, after some arguments, seeks permission to withdraw this petition in order to enable the petitioners to approach the appropriate Government/Authority for redressal of their grievances.

3. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition is permitted to be withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	10.05.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

