

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2647 of 2019

=====

Rakesh Kumar, male, aged about 37 years, Son of Ram Niwas Raj, Resident of Village-Mayari Bazar, P.S.-Nokha, Distt.-Rohtas (Sasaram).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Home Secretary, Department of Home, Patna, Bihar.
2. The Secretary, Staff Selection Commission, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director General of Police, Police Head Quarter, Patna.
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Shahabad Region, Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Kulanand Jha, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sheo Shankar Prasad, SC-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 12-04-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has approached this Court for a direction to the respondent authorities to appoint him on the post of Sub-Inspector in Bihar Police after exempting him from PET test against an advertisement which was issued in the year 2004 by the Bihar Staff Selection Commission, Patna.



3. Similar such matters were decided by this Court and by order dated 02.04.2019, this Court, taking into account the orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in various petitions as also Contempt Petition (C) No. 1711 of 2018, dismissed such petitions on the ground of the matter being stale and the selection process as against the advertisement of 2004 having been completed a long time ago.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner however taking exception to the observations made in the order dated 02.04.2019 passed in C.W.J.C. No. 5186 of 2019, which has been referred to in the preceding paragraph, has stated and informed this Court that even after the aforesaid order of the Supreme Court on 01.11.2018, directing that in case of refusal of the State Government to accede to the request of the petitioners therein, they would have no further right to agitate their claim before any Court, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has, in two matters, entertained such petitions. In one such case, an order was passed directing the petitioner therein to



withdraw the writ petition from the High Court. In the other case, which has been entertained by the Supreme Court, after the order dated 01.11.2018, notices have been issued to the Commission.

5. In any view of the matter, considering the fact that the issue now stands closed with the selection process having been completed long time back, this Court is not inclined to entertain such petitions seeking appointment on the post of Sub-Inspectors against the advertisement of the year 2004.

6. The writ petition lack merits and is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	16.04.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

