

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7365 of 2018

=====

Sanoj Yadav Son of Sahadev Yadav, Resident of Ward No. 5, at Village-
Hanumanganj, P.S. Ariari, District- Sheikhpura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Ex-Incharge Headmaster of Middle School Efani Namely Devendra Pd. Saha, Son of Lakhan Sah, at Village- Bajitpur, P.S.- Vidya Nagar, District- Samastipur.
3. The Block Education Officer B.E.O., Block Ariari, District- Sheikhpura.
4. The B.R.P. Block Resources Person Anchal Ariari, District- Sheikhpura namely, Bipin Kumar, Son of Shree Kant Pd. Kamlakar, R/o Village- Vishunpur, P.O. Bailaw, P.S. Shekopur Sarai, District- Sheikhpura.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satish Kumar, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Smt. Shilpa Singh -GA-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 18-11-2019 The present writ application in the nature of (P.I.L.)

Public Interest Litigation has been preferred by the petitioner for
the for the following relief/s as stipulated in Para-1(i)(ii) and
(iii) which reads:

“1.(i) For that petitioner with prayer from
this Hon’ble Court to direction the Respondent
authority to make a statutory complaint against the
Respondent No.2 and also direction the Chief
Vigilance Authority to enquired into the matter
thereafter, set up enquiry & recovered misappropriated
of government money.

(ii) For that the Respondent No.2 Ex-



Incharge Headmaster of Middle School Efani during said period of Incharge who has been illegally withdrawal of approximate three lakhs rupees from Bihar Kshetriya Gramin Bank from Account No.31578055225 with consent of Secretary and withdrawal amount which has not been distributed to the students and no use of work related in this School.

(iii) For that the grant any other relief or reliefs for which the petitioner is entitled to from the facts and circumstances of the case.”

Counter affidavits filed on behalf of State as well as respondent No.2 are taken on record.

Despite repeated calls, no one appears on behalf of the petitioner.

The application is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(Sanjay Karol, CJ)

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

