

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.7517 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-431 Year-2018 Thana- JAGDISHPUR District- Bhagalpur

Pawan Mandal @ Pawan Kumar Mandal, aged 45 years, gender- Male, son of Late Prayag Mandal, Resident of Village - Mohanpur, P.S.- Goradih, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Swapnil Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 04-04-2019 Heard Sri Swapnil Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor.

The sole petitioner, who has been named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation of trying to outrage modesty of female inmates and also opening firing in the occurrence and causing injury, has approached this Court for grant of anticipatory bail in Jagdishpur (Goradih) P.S. Case No. 431 of 2018 registered for the offence under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379, 354B, 448, 109, 504, 120B of the Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.



Sri Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, learned Addl. Public Prosecutor, by way of referring to facts disclosed in the case diary, submits that there is specific material against the petitioner.

In paragraph-3 of the petition, it has been indicated that besides the present case, the petitioner is accused in other two cases relating to serious offences. Earlier, on 08-02-2019, a co-ordinate Bench of this Court had summoned case diary, which has been received and kept on record and on examining the case diary, it appears that during investigation, accusation against the petitioner and some of other accused persons was found true, which has been noticed in the supervision note of the Superintendent of Police. Even in the F.I.R., there is specific accusation against the petitioner.

Accordingly, there is no need to entertain the prayer for anticipatory bail. The anticipatory bail petition stands dismissed.

(Rakesh Kumar, J.)

nawalkrs/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

