

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.7106 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-683 Year-2018 Thana- NAWADA District-
Nawada

=====

Guddu Kumar @ Onkar Kumar aged about 19 years, Male, Son of Subodh
Singh Resident of Goni, P.S. Muffasil, Dist;RICT- Nawada.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pramod Kumar Verma, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party: APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 07-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest for the offences
alleged under Sections 356, 379, 411/34 of the Indian Penal Code
registered in connection with Nawada Town (Nagar) P.S. Case No.
683 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely
implicated merely on confessional statement of co-accused Rajiv
Kumar from whom the recovery of the mobile phone was made.
Except such confessional statement, there is no other material to
connect the petitioner with the alleged occurrence. The
petitioner claims clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, in the event of the petitioner's
arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from
the date of communication of this order, let the above named
petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of
Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each
to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada in



connection with Nawada (Nagar) P.S. Case No. 683 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., and also subject to the following further conditions:

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

