

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6614 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-160 Year-2018 Thana- KARAKAT District- Rohtas

Indrasani Devi, aged about 50 years, female, Wife of Gurucharan Singh
Resident of Village – Khirodih, P.S. Karakat, District, Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sada Nand Roy
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ashraf Ansari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 14-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner seeks bail in Karakat P.S. Case No. **160 of 2018** registered for the offence punishable under Sections 304(B)/201/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant has alleged that his deceased daughter has been killed by the accused persons including the petitioner for non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that she is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. It has further been submitted that petitioner is mother-in-law of the deceased and there is no specific allegation of making demand of dowry against her. Petitioner has got no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 01.10.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of



the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bikramganj, District, Rohtas in connection with Karakat P.S. Case No. **160 of 2018**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

