

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3371 of 2019

=====

Vijay Prasad S/o Sri Ram Dev Sav, R/o Karama Road Police line police
Station Aurangabad Town District Aurangabad,Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through The Principal Secretary Government of Bihar,Patna
2. The Director in Chief health Services Patna, Bihar
3. The District officer cum Chairman of District Health Committee, Aurangabad Bihar
4. The Civil Surgeon cum chief Medical Officer,Aurangabad Bihar
5. The In Charge Medical Officer Primary Health Centre Deo Aurangabad

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Surya Swetabh, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. Nagendra Pd. Yadav (SC-23)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 22-02-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

2. The petitioner has approached this Court for a direction to the authorities to release the arrears of difference of grade pay which has accrued to him with increase in his grade pay from Rs. 1650/- to Rs. 1800/- vide Government Resolution of the year 2015. There are other payments which are due against the respondents which has been withheld from the petitioner without any reason.

3. The petitioner has been representing time and again for redressal of his grievances but to no avail. It has been pointed out by the learned counsel for the petitioner that on one occasion, the Civil Surgeon-cum-Chief Medical Officer, Aurangabad, Bihar, when represented by the petitioner, issued



a direction to the In-charge, Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Deo, Aurangabad to look into the matter and pass necessary orders making payment of the arrears which has accrued because of the enhancement of the grade pay of the petitioner but the aforesaid direction has yielded no result.

4. The petitioner, under the aforesaid circumstances, is directed to make a detailed representation before the In-charge, Medical Officer, Primary Health Centre, Deo, Aurangabad within a period of four weeks from today, who on receipt of such representation shall dispose it of by a reasoned order, without fail within a period of six weeks thereafter.

5. It is made clear that if the claim of the petitioner is found to be tenable, no dilatory attempts should be made by the concerned respondent in passing necessary orders for redressal of grievances of the petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid direction/observation, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

krishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	28.02.2019
Transmission Date	

