

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6603 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-262 Year-2018 Thana- ROHTAS District- Rohtas

Rajendra Uraon, son of Late Chandradeo Uraon, aged about 35 years, Male,
Resident of Kaperfuti, P.S. - Rohtas, Distt.-Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Deovind Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Suresh Prasad Singh (APP)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 14-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Rohtas P.S. Case No. 262 of 2018 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 386, 395 of the Indian Penal Code, Section 17/18 of U.A.P. Act and Section 17 of C.L.A. Act.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that there is specific allegation of demand of levy against Chunchun Uron and he also took Rs. 74,000/- cash, ornaments and mobile and fled away. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. It has been further submitted that occurrence took place on 19.09.2018 but FIR was lodged on 22.09.2018. Similarly, placed co-accused have been granted bail



by this court as contained in Annexure-3. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 24.09.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Sri V.K. Singh, J.M.1st Class, Dehri, District Rohtas, in connection with Rohtas P.S. Case No. 262 of 2018, subject to conditions:

- (1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.
- (2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.
- (3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

