

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2055 of 2019

=====

Kashikant Jha S/o Lakshman Jha, Resident of Ward No. 06, Panchayat Singiyon, P.S.- Rajnagar, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar and Ors through the Secretary, Department of Food and Consumer Protection, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Madhubani.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer, Sadar, Madhubani.
4. The Block Supply Officer, Rajnagar, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :
For the Respondent/s : Mr.S Raza Ahmed (Aag5)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 17-04-2019 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner to press this writ application.

Learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioner has got an alternative remedy in terms of Rule 32 of the Bihar Targeted PDS (Control) Order, 2016, but instead of seeking his remedy under the provisions of the Control Order, 2016 petitioner has directly moved this court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

In the given facts and circumstances of the case, this court finds that the writ application is not fit to be entertained as the petitioner has got an alternative statutory remedy which he would be at liberty to avail. In case, such a remedy is applied for



and a question of limitation arises, the appellate authority shall consider the same keeping in mind that the petitioner was pursuing his remedy before this court.

This writ application stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

