

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.6271 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-109 Year-2018 Thana- PURNAHYA District- Sheohar *

1. Jai Prakash Yadav, Male, aged about 30 years, S/o Late Yamuna Ray
2. Anil Ray @ Chhote Ray (Male), aged about 35 years, S/o Bindeshwar Ray
3. Bhim Ray (Male) aged about 23 years, S/o Ram Naresh Ray
4. Ravi Ray @ Bhairo Ray (Male), aged about 34 years, Son of Deni Ray
5. Rakesh Ray (Male), aged about 22 years, Son of Vigan Ray,
All resident of Village - Ashogi, Chhapra Dhani, P.S. Purnahiya, Dist.
Sheohar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Pushpendra Kumar Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Choubey Jawahar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 26-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the State.

In this case, the petitioners are apprehending their
arrest in connection with Purnahiya P.S. Case No. 109 of 2018
registered for offences under sections 307, 323, 341/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

As per allegation, the dance party was organized,
two groups were there, when the same was over, co-accused
Govind had gone to the stage and said that the dance will
continue, whereafter, both sides have altercated with each other
and caused injury on both sides.



There is no specific allegation of any overt act against the petitioners.

Looking to the entire facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioners, above named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sheohar in connection with Purnahiya P.S. Case No. 109 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also subject to condition that whenever the police will call the petitioners for investigation/interrogation, they will remain present and if they would not present themselves, the privilege of grant of anticipatory bail shall be deemed to have been canceled.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

