

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9090 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-313 Year-2018 Thana- BIKRAM District- Patna

RAJA KUMAR Son of Raju Yadav Resident of Village- Naya Tola, Gerabari,
Jurabganj, P.S.- Kordha, District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

THE STATE OF BIHAR.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Uma Shankar Prasad Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sharda Kumari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

6 28-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned counsel for the State

Petitioner, who is in custody, seeks bail in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 313 of 2018 registered for the offence punishable under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

Informant has alleged that while she was returning to her house after withdrawing an amount of Rs. 44,500/- from P.N.B., Bikram Branch on motorcycle along with her son, in the meantime two unknown miscreants on Pulsar Black Motorcycle stopped them and snatched away the money and fled away.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is not named in the FIR. He has been falsely implicated in this case by the police. His name has surfaced in this case



during investigation on confessional statement of himself. Petitioner has not been put on T.I.P. Nothing has been recovered from his possession. Petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 07.10.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M-VI, Danapur, Patna, in connection with Bikram P.S. Case No. 313 of 2018 subject to the conditions that:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and his absence on two consecutive dates without proper and sufficient reason the trial court will be at liberty to cancel his bail bond.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in



that case, prosecution will be at liberty to
move for cancellation of bail of the
petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

