

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.7682 of 2019**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-508 Year-2018 Thana- SUPAUL District- Supaul

Sudha Kumari, aged 39 years (Female), wife of Sri Vimal Sah ,Resident of  
Village- Lohiya Chowk, Ward No. 8, P.S. + District Supaul

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Superintendent of Police ,Vigilance investigation Bureau, Patna. Bihar

... .. Opposite Parties

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr.Amrit Abhijat, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party : Mr.Ajay Mishra, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      11-02-2019                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP  
for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest for the offences  
alleged under Sections 198, 420, 467, 468, 471, 477(A) and 120(B)  
of the Indian Penal Code registered in connection with Supaul P.S.  
Case No. 508 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely  
implicated on the allegation of having obtained appointment letter  
against the post of Panchayat Teacher based on forged educational  
certificate. It is submitted that similarly situated co-accused Hira  
Kumari has been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order  
dated 09.01.2019 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 75937 of 2018.

4. Learned APP appears and opposes the anticipatory bail  
petition, submitting that the petitioner had been appointed on the  
basis of her Intermediate Examination Marks-sheet showing 576  
marks having passed in 1<sup>st</sup> Division but on verification from Bihar  
Intermediate Education Council, the correct marks-sheet showed 519  
marks and so the petitioner had been awarded 519 marks and passed  
in 2<sup>nd</sup> Division. It is submitted that the first marks-sheet carries only



one signature purporting to be that of the Secretary and is undated.

5. Having regard to the nature of accusations and gravity of offence alleged, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. The anticipatory bail petition stands dismissed.

6. It further appears that the case of Hira Kumari stands on different footing as in her case there was no real discrepancy in the marks as in the latter's certificate marks obtained by her in the paper of Music Practical was then added and brought to her total marks shown in her first certificate.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

BT/Chandran

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

