

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1165 of 2019

=====

Suman Kumar Late Ratneshwar Lal Resident of Mohalla-Mithanpura, P.S.-
Mithanpura, District-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Bank of India through its Chief General Manager, 8th Floor, Local Head Office, West Gandhi Maidan, Patna.
2. The Branch Manager, Branch State Bank of India, Poddar Complex, Mithanpura, P.O.-Ramna, Distt.-Muzaffarpur.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anirudh Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Kaushlendra Kumar Sinha

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJEEV RANJAN PRASAD
ORAL ORDER

2 17-01-2019 The writ application cannot be entertained for the simple reason that the petitioner has got an alternative and equally efficacious remedy under the provisions of Secularization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002. The petitioner has moved this Court against the demand notice alone.

The writ application is, thus, not entertained but liberty is granted to the petitioner to move before the appropriate forum in an appropriate jurisdiction for redressal of his grievance. The petitioner may file an application before the appropriate forum within a period of 30 days from today, till expiry of that 30 days period no coercive action shall be taken



against the petitioner.

The writ application stands disposed off.

(Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, J)

avin/-ved/-

U			
---	--	--	--

