

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.7330 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2017 Thana- MAKHDUMPUR District- Jehanabad

Ripu Yadav @ Abhishek Kumar, About Age 22 (Male), son of Kishori Kumar
Ranjan @ Kishori Yadav, resident of Village - Panda Bigha, P.S.-
Makhdumpur, O.P.- Tehta, Distt.- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Prasad Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Nirmala Kumari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Petitioner seeks bail in Makhdumpur P.S. Case No.
92/2017 registered for the offence punishable under Sections
147/148/341/323/324/307/379 and 504 of the Indian Penal
Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is to have assaulted by
sword upon the informant as a result of which he became
injured, whereas co-accused persons took away some ornaments
and Rs.1,50,000/- from the cash box of the shop of the
informant.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he
is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case.
Similarly, situated co-accused persons have been granted bail as



contained in Annexure 2. Petitioner is in custody since 25.10.2018.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner named above be released on bail upon furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned S.D.J.M., Jehanabad in connection with Makhdumpur P.S. Case No. **92/2017**, with following conditions:-

(1) Bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the court concerned.

(2) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the court below.

(3) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses of the case, in that case, prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

(S. Kumar, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

