

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6344 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-279 Year-2018 Thana- RUPASPUR District- Patna

=====

Anil Raj, aged about 22 years, (M), Son of Late Ramashish Mahto Resident of Mohalla- Math Lakshmanpur Koiri Tola, P.S.- Alamganj, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Manoj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rita Verma

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 06-02-2019 Heard learned counsels for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner is languishing in custody since 25.09.2018 in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 457 and 380 of the IPC.

The prosecution case is to the effect that theft was committed in the house of the informant, leading to registration of the FIR against unknown persons. During course of investigation, from the possession of the petitioner, one iron rod, one dagger, cash of amount 2,61,559/-, currency of foreign money and jewellerys were recovered.

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that only on the basis of suspicion, the petitioner has been roped in the present case. Neither the petitioner, nor the seized articles



have been put on T.I. Parade. A statement has been made in paragraph no.3 of the petition that though the petitioner is made accused in four other cases, but in three cases, he is on bail.

Learned APP submits that recovery of theft articles have been made from the possession of the petitioner.

Considering the fact that recovered articles have not been put on T.I. Parade, the investigation has already been concluded and the period under custody, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned ACJM, Danapur in connection with Rupaspur P.S. Case No. 279 of 2018.

Considering the serious criminal antecedent of the petitioner, learned Court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner if he defaults for two consecutive occasions, or if he substantially involved in some serious nature of offence.

(Dinesh Kumar Singh, J)

Amrendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

