

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3065 of 2019

=====

Sandeep Kumar Thakur @ Sandeep Thakur, S/O-Late Jay Prakash Thakur,
R/o- Sadhua, P.S.-Rangra, District- Bhagalpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar and Ors the Principal Secretary, Excise Deptt. Patna
2. the District Magistrate Katihar
3. the Superintendent of Police Katihar
4. The S.H.O. Falka (Pothia O.P.) P.S.-Falka (Pothia O.P.), Katihar

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vikash Kumar, SC-11

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 21-02-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

The petitioner prays for provisional release of the
motorcycle bearing registration No. BR-39M-9163, which has
been seized in connection with Falka (Pothia) P.S. Case No.232
of 2017 District Katihar for the offences punishable under
sections 272 and 273 of the Indian Penal Code and section
30(a) and 37(b) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that
750 ml. of Foreign wine has been seized; the confiscation
proceeding is yet to be initiated and the vehicle is lying under
the open sky in the police station. The seizure list supports the



seizure of the motorcycle and 750 ml. of Foreign wine.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that the confiscation proceeding is yet to be initiated, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the designated court below with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/ undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in further.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a Panchanama



would be prepared by the designated court below wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties and the undertakings as stated above, which would however be subject to initiation and finalization of the confiscation proceeding, if any.

With the observations above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

skpathak/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	25.02.2019
Transmission Date	NA

