

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4478 of 2019

=====

Afshan Perween @ Nahid Perween, Female, Aged about 31 years, W/o Md. Sanjar Alam, D/o Md. Kaishar Imam, Resident of Vill. and P.O.-Gajipur, P.S.-Tarapur, District-Munger.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Social Welfare Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Integrated Child Development Scheme (ICDS), Bihar, 2nd Floor, Indira Bhawan, R.C. Singh Path, P.O.-Punaichak, P.S.-Punaichak, District-Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Munger.
5. The Child Development Project Officer (C.D.P.O.), Tarapur, Munger.
6. The District Programme Officer, Munger.
7. Moshwa Azim, W/o Md. Imran Salim, Resident of Vill. and P.O.- Gajipur, P.S.-Tarapur, District-Munger.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Md. Najmul Hodda, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Kumari Amrita, GP-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 25-03-2019

The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that despite her being No. 1 in the merit-list, private respondent No. 7 has been appointed as Anganbari



Sevika.

2. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that she is ready to approach the District Programme Officer, Munger and make a complaint with respect to the wrong appointment of private respondent No. 7 on the post of Anganbari Sevika at Anganbari Kendra No. 60 (Gajipur-03), falling under Gajipur Panchayat in the District of Munger.

3. Should such a complaint be filed within a period of four weeks from today, the District Programme Officer, Munger (respondent No. 6), after hearing the necessary parties, *i.e.*, the petitioner, private respondent No. 7 and other stake holders, shall pass a reasoned order in accordance with law within a further period of six weeks thereafter.

4. Should the petitioner feel aggrieved even thereafter, she would have the opportunity of preferring an appeal against the order of the District Programme Officer, Munger before the District Magistrate, Munger (respondent No. 4).



5. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	25.03.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

