

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1320 of 2019

Shushila Devi Wife of Natho Yadav Resident of village-Herudiyara,P.s-
NayaRam Nagar District-Munger

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar and Ors Through the Principal Secretary Landreforms
Department Govt Of Bihar ,Patna
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Munger,Bihar
3. The Deputy Inspector General,Muger Munger Bihar
4. The District MagistrateMunger Munger Bihar
5. The Sub Divisional Officer,Sadar,Munger Sadar Munger Bihar
6. The Circle Officer ,Jamalpur Jamalpur,Munger Bihar
7. The Superntedent of Police Munger Munger,Bihar
8. The Incharge (Safiyasarai) OP Naya Ram Nagar Police Station (Safiyasarai)
OP Naya Ram Nagar Police Station,Munger Bihar
9. Kamali Mandal S/O Late Karelal Mandal Resident Of Village-Herudiyara,
P.s-Naya Ram Nagar,District-Munger,Proprietor of KTC Bricks
10. Sachin Kumar Yadav S/O Raja Ram Yadav Resident of Village
Herudiyara,P.S-Naya Ram Nagar,District-Munger Proprietor of RPS Bricks
11. Ram Charitra Singh S/o Late Sita Singh alias Satavi Singh Resident Of
Village-Indrarukh,P.S-Kasim Bazar ,District-Munger Proprietor Of GMP
Bricks
12. Neeraj Kumar S/O Rajendra Prasad Yadav Resident of Village-Shashtri
Nagar,P.S-Kasim Bazar,District-Munger Proprietor Of RPM Bricks

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Prasad

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar Kashyap, AC to GP-18

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 05-03-2019 Following is the grievance, which the petitioner is
raising :-

“For direction the respondents to



immediate restraint the private respondent no. 9 to 12 to take soil from the agricultural land of the petitioner pertaining to Thana No.431, Tauzi No.426, Khata No.55, Khesra No.09 & 1546 situated at Mauza Indruk village-Herudiyara which is raiyati land of the petitioner and peaceful possession over the land for more than 50 years. The private respondents are forcibly taking soil from the agricultural land of the petitioner for manufacturing bricks.”

In my view, the petitioner has statutory remedy under the Bihar Right to Public Grievance Redressal Act, 2015 and the Rules framed thereunder.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that despite repeated representations made by her before the Collector of the district and other district officials, her grievances have not been given any heed of.

Be that as it may, since the petitioner has statutory remedy under the Act, this application is disposed of granting the petitioner liberty to approach the Public Grievances Redressal Officer under the Act by making appropriate application. If the petitioner does so, it needs no reiteration, that the Grievance Redressal Officer shall dispose of the petitioner's application within the statutory period prescribed under the Act



and the Rules framed thereunder.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

