

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1171 of 2019

=====

Vikash Kumar Ram, Son of Puran Ram, Resident of Simri East, Police Station- Raj Nagar, District- Madhubani.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Rural Development, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. Mission Director, Bihar Maha Dalit Vikash Mission, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Madhubani.
4. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Madhubani.
5. The District Programme Officer (Maha Dalit Vikash Mission), Madhubani.
6. The District Welfare Officer, District- Madhubani.
7. Sub-Divisional Welfare Officer, Madhubani.
8. The Block Development Officer, Raj Nagar, District- Madhubani.
9. Dukhi Sadai, Son of Uttim Lal Sadai, resident of Village- Simri , Ward No. 13, P.S. Raj Nagar, District- Madhubani.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar Jha
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vikash Kumar (Sc11)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 21-01-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the state.

2. The petitioner who hails from the Cobbler caste which is the predominant caste of the locality, is aggrieved by the fact that respondent no. 9 who belongs to another Extremely Backward Class but minority in number has been appointed as Vikash Mitra in derogation of the guidelines issued by the Government of Bihar for the purposes of appointment of Vikash Mitras.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the list of BPL families of the



Panchayat under reference clearly indicates that persons belonging to Cobbler Caste are in majority.

4. Such representation by the petitioner has also been filed before the District Magistrate, Madhubani which is still pending consideration.

5. The District Magistrate, Madhubani is directed to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner within a period of six weeks from today.

6. In case of necessity, a hearing may be given to the petitioner and in case any order is to be passed which would be against the interest of respondent no. 9, he too shall be heard.

7. With the aforesaid observation/direction, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

krishna/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	22.01.2019
Transmission Date	

