

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Second Appeal No.563 of 1988

Sanichar Mushar, Son of Faguni Mushar, Resident of Village Rajabazar
Behea, P.S. Behea, District Bhojpur (Arrah)

... .. Plaintiff.... ..Respondent 1st Set.... ..Appellant

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Collector, District Bhojpur
... .. Defendant.... ..Appellant.... ..Respondent

2. Additional Collector, District Bhojpur.

3. D.C.L.R., Arrah, District Bhojpur.

4. Sub-Divisional Officer, Arrah.

5. Circle Officer, Behea, District Bhojpur.

.... ..Defendants.... ..Respondents IIIrd Set.... ..Respondents

6. Bhikhari Dusadh.

7. Lallan Dusadh.

8. Mahendra Dusadh

All sons of Sukhdeo Dusadh, All residents of Village Behea Bazar, P.S.
Behea, District Bhojpur.

.... ..Defendants.... ..Respondents 2nd Set.... ..Respondents

with

Second Appeal No. 564 of 1988

Sanichar Mushar, Son of Faguni Mushar, Resident of Village Rajabazar
Behea, P.S. Behea, District Bhojpur (Arrah)

... .. Plaintiff.... ..Respondent.... ..Appellant

Versus

1. Bhikhari Dusadh.

2. Lallan Dusadh.

3. Mahendra Dusadh, All sons of Sukhdeo Dusadh.

All residents of Village Behea Bazar, P.S. Behea, District Bhojpur.

4. The State of Bihar, through the Collector, District Bhojpur, Arrah.

5. Additional Collector, District Bhojpur.

6. D.C.L.R., Arrah, District Bhojpur.

7. Sub-Divisional Officer, Arrah, District Bhojpur.

... .. Defendants... ..Respondents IInd Set... ..Respondents

Appearance :

(In Second Appeal No. 563 of 1988)

For the Appellant/s : None

For the Respondent/s : None

(In Second Appeal No. 564 of 1988)

For the Appellant/s : None

For the Respondent/s : None

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

24 04-01-2019

Even today nobody appears on behalf of the appellant



as well as the respondents.

It appears that the appellant and respondents were directed to file exhibits, which were withdrawn from the record of the lower court after passing of the judgment and decree. The appellant withdrew exhibits 4 and 5 of the plaintiff and exhibits X, X1, A and B of the defendants but even after repeated directions, neither the appellant nor the respondents filed the withdrawn exhibits.

In this view of the fact, both these appeals are dismissed.

(Prabhat Kumar Jha, J)

S.KUMAR/-

U			
---	--	--	--

