

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.85 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-197 Year-2018 Thana- BARH District- Patna

=====

Rajeev Ranjan Pandey, son of Late Ram Chandra Pandey Village Berhana
Rampur Tola P.S.- Barh, District - Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Pratima Devi, wife of Rajeev Ranjan Pandey, Vill. + P.O- Berhna, District-
Patna,

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajni Kant Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Sri Damodar Prasad Tiwary

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 05-03-2019 The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with

Barh P.S.Case No. 197 of 2018 registered under Sections 494

and 498A/34 of the Indian penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is of torturing the
informant with respect to demand of dowry. It further appears
that earlier also a case has been lodged against the petitioner.

Submission of learned counsel for the petitioner is that he
is ready to keep his wife, if the informant is ready to reside with
him.

Heard learned APP also and learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the informant, who has opposed the prayer of bail
stating that earlier also a case has been lodged against him and
he has been granted provisional bail in order to keep the



informant along with him but he has not taken her and as such provisional bail bond was cancelled hence he does not deserve anticipatory bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, I am not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to him rather he should surrender within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and make prayer for regular bail, which shall be considered and disposed of on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sujit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

