

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.250 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-496 Year-2018 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

-
1. Gujiya Devi and Ors Wife of Late Chhatahru Marik
 2. Anila Devi @ Amila Devi, age 30 years, Female Wife of Mahesh Marik
 3. Rinku Devi, age 27 years, Female Wife of Baiju Marik All are Resident of Village - Basmatiya, P.S.- Narpatganj, District - Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ramesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Madhuri Lata, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 05-02-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P.

The petitioners seek anticipatory bail in connection with Narpatganj (Basamatiya) P.S.Case No. 496 of 2018, registered for offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

Allegation as per the F.I.R. is that the petitioner No. 1, who is mother-in-law and petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are Gotni of the deceased, is of throttling her and after her death, for the purpose of concealing her dead body they were preparing for the funeral of the deceased.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in this case



and the name of the petitioners by the informant was roped with ulterior motive and the petitioners have clean antecedent.

Learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer for bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as discussed above, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of six weeks from the date of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Twenty five thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Narpatganj (Basmatiya) P.S.Case No. 496 of 2016 to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Araria, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. with other that bailors should be local having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

