

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20512 of 2018

=====

Suchita Kumari Wife of Kapil Dev Prasad Resident of Village- Baksoti, P.O. -
Amarut, P.S.- Dobhi, District - Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Gaya.
3. The District Education Officer, Gaya.
4. The District Programme Officer Establishment, Gaya.
5. The Block Development Officer, Dobhi, Gaya.
6. The Block Education Officer, Dobhi, Gaya.
7. The Panchayat Secretary, Panchayat Teacher Niyojan Ikai, Gram Panchayat
Raj, Dobhi, Block - Dobhi
8. The Principal, Primary School, Keshapi, Block - Dobhi, District - Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shankar Kumar Choudhary
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Prabhakar Jha, GP-27

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2019 The present writ petition has been filed for quashing

the order of termination dated 12.08.2017 passed by the

respondent no. 7, whereby and whereunder the services of the

petitioner as Panchayat Teacher has been terminated on the

ground of having produced forged certificate.

The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the

petitioner has been terminated from her services without

affording an opportunity of hearing since not even a show has

been asked for from the petitioner before passing of the

termination order. It is further submitted that the case of the

petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment of learned



Division Bench passed in L.P.A. No. 501 of 2017 on 14.11.2017.

The learned counsel for the respondents do not dispute the position as is existing in law.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is allowed and the order dated 12.08.2017 passed by the respondent no. 7 is quashed and the respondents are granted liberty to proceed in accordance with law.

It is further directed that the petitioner should be reinstated and thereafter they should proceed in the matter in accordance with law, however, for the intervening period, no salary shall be paid to the petitioner but the same would be dependent upon the final outcome of the proceedings to be undertaken and concluded by the respondents.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

S.Sb/-

U			
---	--	--	--

