

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2614 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2018 Thana- KINJAR District- Jehanabad

=====

Amarjeet Kumar s/o Bijendra Singh Vill-Bara, PS-Kinjar, Dist.-Arwal
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Bihar
... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjay Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

3 29-03-2019 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in Kinjar P.S. Case No. 12/2018,
instituted for offences under Section(s) 341, 323, 504, 304(B), 201
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Petitioner is the husband of deceased.

It is alleged in the written report that the deceased
(daughter of informant) was married with this petitioner in the year
2010 according to Hindu rites and custom. One son and daughter
were born from the wedlock. The deceased was tortured by this
petitioner and his family members for demand of dowry for which
Arwal Mahila P.S. Case No. 05/2015 was filed under Section 498A
of the Indian Penal Code against petitioner. In the aforesaid case
petitioner was granted bail on the basis of compromise. Daughter of
informant was living in her *Sasural*. In the meantime, informant
learnt that his daughter is ill. Thereafter informant and his son went



to *Sasural* of his daughter and learnt that his daughter has been done to death in her *Sasural* and her dead body has been disposed off. He found that the accused persons had fled away after putting lock in the house.

Case diary has been received.

In case diary there is no any evidence that this petitioner had informed the informant about the death of the deceased and her family members had refused to participate in the cremation of the deceased.

From case diary, it appears that deceased died in her *Sasural* in suspicious circumstances and her dead body had been disposed off without intimation to the informant.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage. Accordingly, prayer for bail of petitioner is rejected.

The trial court is directed to expedite the trial and make efforts to conclude the same as early as possible preferably within a period of nine months from the date of receipt of this order.

Petitioner will be at liberty to renew the prayer for bail after nine months in the event no substantive progress is made in trial.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

rakhi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--



