

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2979 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-83 Year-2018 Thana- RAUTA District- Purnia

- =====
1. Md. Ravi, son of Md. Jamaluddin Vill-Jamira Danga, P.S-Rauta, Distt.-Purnea.
 2. Bablu Khatoon @ Ballu Khatoon, wife of Md. Jamaluddin Vill-Jamira Danga, P.S-Rauta, Distt.-Purnea.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Praveen Kumar Agrawal

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL ORDER

2 23-01-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 304(B)/34 IPC registered in connection with Rauta P.S. Case No. 83 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated on mere suspicion and the informant is the eye-witness to the alleged occurrence. The petitioners were the brother-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased and were living separately, as such they have no concern with the day-to-day affairs of the deceased and her family. No specific accusations have been made against the petitioners. The husband of the deceased Md. Ajmal has been taken into judicial custody. The petitioners claim clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances, in the event of the petitioners arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of Mr.



A.K.Thakur, learned ACJM-II, Purnea in connection with Rouda P.S. Case No. 83 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. and also subject to the following further conditions:

- i. That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioners.
- ii. That the petitioners shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.
- iii. That the petitioners shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make themselves available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.
- iv. The petitioner no. 1 shall remain physically present and petitioner no. 2 shall be well represented in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

