

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2308 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-78 Year-2018 Thana- SANJHOLI District- Rohtas

Sanjeet Sharma @ Sanjeet Kumar Sharma, aged about 24 years(M), Son of Kameshwar Sharma, resident of Village- Prayag Bigha, Pani Tanki, P.S. Dalmiyanagar, District- Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr.Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the Opposite Party : Mr.Dilip Kumar, A.P.P. 19.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 17-01-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case
for the offence registered under Sections 366 and 376 of the
IPC.

The prosecution story, in brief, is that on 23.06.2018,
Sanjeet Kumar Sharma (petitioner) induced the informant
giving assurance to marry with her and taken away at Village-
Bauk, P.S. Akodhigola and kept in the house of co-accused
Gorakh Sharma and with the help of Gorakh Sharma and his
wife Rinki Devi, after eight days taken away to *Ranchi* and from
where *Tata* and on assurance to marry with her continuously
established physical relation with her. Thereafter, on 15.07.2018



all accused persons snatching her ornaments drove her away from the house. It is further alleged that all accused persons have also assaulted her given threatened to kill her.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering with the witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. The statement of the victim girl has already been recorded before the police under Section 161 of Cr. P.C. in which she had stated that on assurance of marriage she left her house with this petitioner. The victim is already a married lady. Later on, it is alleged that the petitioner denied to get married with the said victim girl. Hence, the present prosecution has been brought against the petitioner. The allegation made in the F.I.R. is denied by the petitioner.

On behalf of the learned counsel for the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner above named, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the



like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.J.-Ist-cum-A.C.J.M. Bikramganj, Rohtas at Sasaram, in connection with Sanjhauli P.S. Case No. 78 of 2018, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

U.K./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

