

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.19460 of 2018

Sabita Kumari, Wife of Manglesh Kumar, D/o Rajeshwar Singh, Resident of
Village- Mohini, P.O.- Shivpur, Police Station- Bikramganj, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Excise Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Excise Department, Patna, Division, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. The Superintendent of Police, Rohtas.
5. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Bikramganj, Rohtas.
6. The Officer- Incharge, Bikramganj, Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dhaneshwar Prasad Gupta, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vivek Prasad -GP7

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SRIVASTAVA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 08-04-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the state.

The petitioner prays for provisional release of the
Motorcycle bearing Registration No. BR24S-3185, which has
been seized in connection with Bikramganj P.S. Case No. 258 of
2017 for the offences punishable under Section 272, 273 of the
Indian Penal Code and Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition
and Excise Act.

It is stated by learned counsel for the petitioner that
confiscation proceeding is pending and the vehicle is lying



under the open sky in the police station. The seizure list reflects the seizure of 38 liters of country liquor.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking note of the nature of seizure made as well as the fact that the confiscation proceeding is pending, we direct that the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on production of ownership and registration with respect to vehicle in question in his name before the District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and



when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle, a *Panchanama* would be got prepared by the District Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram, wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future, if so required, it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said *Panchanama* in course of trial.

The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties and the undertakings as stated above. This release would, however, be subject to finalization of the confiscation proceeding.

With the observations/directions above, this writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Arvind Srivastava, J)

Nasimul/Manish

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	17-04-2019
Transmission Date	N/A

