

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.3491 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-251 Year-2018 Thana- UDAKISHUNGANJ District-
Madhepura

=====

Suresh Ram, son of late Choudhari Ram, resident of Village- Karuti Bazar,
P.S- Uda-Kishunganj District-Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pawan Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL
ORAL ORDER

2 25-01-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since
21.08.2018 in connection with Uda-Kishunganj P.S. Case No.
251 of 2018, G.R. No. 1003 of 2018 for offences punishable
under Sections 498(A), 302, 201, 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

The prosecution case as lodged by the informant is that
his sister Ranju Devi was married to the petitioner's son Pintu
Ram @ Tunnu Ram in the year 2008 and out of wedlock two
sons were born aged 6 and 1½ years respectively. It is alleged that
his sister was always tortured for non-fulfilment of Rs. 70,000/-
for purchasing of motorcycle and on the fateful day she was killed
and her body was disposed of by the husband and other in-laws.



It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that he is innocent and is the father-in-law of the deceased Ranju Devi. It is further submitted that general and omnibus allegations have been levelled against all the family members and the deceased's husband is languishing in judicial custody since 27.09.2018. He further submits that victim lady died a natural death as she was ailing and death was informed but nobody from the maternal side came in the cremation of the dead body and half burnt dead body was recovered by the police. He further submits that the charge-sheet has already been submitted, there being no allegation of tampering with the prosecution witnesses.

However, learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and materials on record, let the petitioner above named be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000 (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Uda-Kishunganj, District-Madhepura in connection with Uda-Kishunganj P.S. Case No. 251 of 2018, G.R. No. 1003 of 2018, subject to the conditions:-



(1) One of the bailors would be close relative of the petitioner having sufficient immovable properties, who will file an affidavit stating his relationship with the petitioner.

(2) The petitioner will appear before the learned Court below during trial as and when required and failure to appear on two consecutive dates without assigning any reason will entail cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

devendra/priyanka

U		T	
---	--	---	--

