

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.2241 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-58 Year-2018 Thana- BIND District- Nalanda

=====

Satyam Kumar, S/o Vijay Kumar @ Vijay Prasad, Resident of Village-
Parwati, PS- Kasichak (Shahpur), District-Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Dwivedi, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anish Chandra, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. ANJANA MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

4 07-03-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Petitioner is in custody on his remand in connection
with Bind P.S. Case No.58 of 2018 since 15.11.2018 registered
for the offence under Sections 364A, 120B of the Indian Penal
Code.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is not named in the FIR, but subsequent thereto on the
basis of the confessional statement made before the police by
one co-accused Rishu Raj, the name of the petitioner has been
drawn in. Thereafter, the petitioner has made to give his self-
confessional statement before the police, which has no
evidentiary value.

Diary in the present case was called for earlier, which



has since been received.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State after perusal of the case diary submits that in the statement made before the police by the victim himself, the petitioner has been specifically named as one of the persons who had kidnapped him and thereafter demanded ransom from the father of the victim boy.

In view of the specific assertion made by the victim himself in his statement made under Section 164 Cr.P.C. clearly implicating and involving the petitioner with the specific role, I am not inclined to grant regular bail of the petitioner. It is, accordingly, rejected.

(Anjana Mishra, J)

PNM/Rakesh

U		T	
---	--	---	--

