

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1082 of 2019

Madan Kumar, Aged about 39 years (Male), Son of Harinarayan Prasad,
Resident of Station Road, Ward No. 17, P.S.- Dehri, District-Rohtas at
Sasaram.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through its Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
 2. The District Magistrate, Rohtas, District Rohtas at Sasaram.
 3. The Sub Divisional Magistrate, Dehri, District-Rohtas at Sasaram.
 4. The Circle Officer, Dehri, District-Rohtas.
 5. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
 6. The Superintendent of Police, Dehri Dalmiyanagar, District-Rohtas at Sasaram.
 7. The S.H.O., Dehri Police Station, District-Rohtas at Sasaram.
 8. Alok Kuer Maurya, S/o Late Ghura Singh, Aged about 30 years (Male).
 9. Shrimati Kwer, W/o Late Ghura Singh, Aged about 54 years (female).
- Both resident of Mohan-Bigha ward no. 15, P.S.-Dehri, District Rohtas at Sasaram.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramchandra Singh
For the Respondent/s	:	Md. Nadim Seraj- GP-5
		Mr. Shailesh Kumar, AC to GP-5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 15-01-2019 Following is the relief, which the petitioner is seeking:-

“That this is an application for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus or any other writ or writs or direction or directions to the respondents to protect the life and property of the petitioner as well as to take appropriate action against the respondent no. 8 and 9 in accordance with law.”



2. There are several statements made to make out a case that, respondent nos. 8 and 9 are interfering with the peaceful possession of the petitioner, in respect of the disputed plot, described in paragraph-4 of the writ application.

3. It is the case of the petitioner that he has purchased the disputed land from respondent no. 8 and now respondent nos. 8 and 9 are trying to dispossess him from the said land. Despite applications given to the Superintendent of Police, Rohtas and the District Magistrate, Rohtas, no action has been taken, the petitioner asserts.

4. Considering the nature of averments made in the writ application, it is being disposed of with a direction to the District Magistrate, Rohtas, to look into the grievance of the petitioner, which he has raised in his representation made to the Sub-Divisional Officer, Dehri, Rohtas and dispose it of within two months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order along with his representation, which the petitioner shall be at liberty to make before the District-Magistrate.

6. This application stands disposed of.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Ashish/-

U			
---	--	--	--

