

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16348 of 2018

=====

Guddu Kumar Son of Baliraj Yadav, Resident of Village- Bisar, P.S.- Muffasil
in the District of Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector, Gaya.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Gaya.
5. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Gaya.
6. The Excise Superintendent, Gaya.
7. The Station House Officer, Tankuppa, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Braj Nandan Kumar Tiwary
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Vivek Prasad - Gp7

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
and
HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL
ORAL JUDGMENT
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN)

Date : 21-01-2019

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

This application has been filed seeking provisional
release of the vehicle (Motorcycle) bearing Chasis No.
MBLHAR07JHC45231 Engine No. HA10AGJHC52101 seized
in connection with Tankuppa P.S. Case No. 72 of 2018 registered
under Sections 272, 273 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 30
(a) of the Bihar Prohibition & Excise Act, 2016. It has been
stated that from the vehicle in question 10 liters illicit liquor have



been recovered. It is submitted that confiscation proceeding for the vehicle in question is pending.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the vehicle is lying under open sky under the Police Station and if release is not allowed the vehicle is likely to become a junk and in such circumstance, the State is not going to gain anyway in confiscation proceeding. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is willing to provide such surety and undertakings which may be required to protect the interest of the State during the pendency of the confiscation.

Learned counsel for the State is present and submits that in the given facts and circumstances of this case if at all the Court is willing to consider provisional release of the vehicle then interest of the State is required to be protected.

In the given facts and circumstances where confiscation proceeding is presently pending, let the vehicle in question be released provisionally in favour of the petitioner on the petitioner's producing the document of ownership and registration in his name before the District Magistrate, Gaya (Confiscating Authority) with two sureties to the extent of the value of the vehicle as indicated in the insurance document. The



petitioner while submitting the sureties shall also furnish the following affidavits/undertakings:-

(i) That the vehicle in question has never been involved in any offence of similar nature in past and shall not indulge in similar offence in future.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in creating any third party right or interest in respect of the vehicle during the pendency of the confiscation proceeding and shall not alienate the vehicle during this period.

(iii) The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking to produce the vehicle before the confiscating authority as and when required.

(iv) Prior to release of the vehicle a Panchnama would be prepared by the court below wherein the photograph of the vehicle shall be taken and will be certified by the petitioner and the same shall be kept on record so that in future if so required it may be used as a secondary evidence. The petitioner shall furnish an undertaking not to challenge the said Panchanama in course of trial.



The release shall be allowed within a period of 14 days from the date of submission of the sureties along with the undertakings as stated above. This release would, however, be subject to the final order passed in confiscation proceeding.

The writ petition is allowed.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

Bibhash/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	05.02.2019
Transmission Date	NA

