

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2115 of 2019

=====

Sujeet Kumar S/o Krishna Mohan Prasad Resident of House 95, Hari Nagar, Maheskhunt, Gogri, Bihar, Khagaria-851213

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Additional Secretary to the Govt. Home Department of , Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. The Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna
5. The Inspector General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
6. The Deputy Inspector General, Head Quarter, Bihar, Patna
7. The Central Selection Board, Bihar, Patna, through its Chairman.
8. The Chairman, Central Selection Board, Bihar
9. The Secretary, Central Selection Board, Bihar.,
10. Forensic Science Laboratory, Bihar, Vigyan Pradyogic Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amitesh Kumar Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Manish Kumar GP-4 Mr. Ravi Verma AC to GP-4
For the CSBC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey Advocate Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra Advocate Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 07-02-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the

State.



The petitioner is aggrieved by the decision of the Central Selection Board (Constable Recruitment), Bihar, Patna of disqualifying the petitioner because of the fact the signature on the application did not match with the signature obtained later for the purposes of verification of the genuineness of his candidature.

Out of 9839 candidates, who were recommended by the Central Selection Board, Bihar, Patna for being appointed as Constables, the cases of 235 such recommendees were sent for verification by the forensic laboratory. Out of those 235 who have been singled out, the application of 229 such applicants was found to be forged. The name of the petitioner falls in the aforesaid list of 229 applicants who were provisionally recommended. A criminal case also has been lodged against such persons whose signature did not match with their signature obtained later.

The present petition appears to be premature.

The petitioner would have the liberty to approach this Court if the investigation in the criminal case ends in any



report in favour of the petitioner and such report being accepted by the Court of law.

With the aforesaid observation, this writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

skm/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	12.02.2019
Transmission Date	

