

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12850 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1413 Year-2016 Thana- MUZZFARPUR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Shambhu Sahni Son of Horil Sahni R/o village- Shapur Chakki Chandwara,
P.S- Ahiyapur, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Renu Devi Wife of Shambhu Sahni, D/O- Ghuntun Sahni Resident of Radha Nagar (Nanhu Chak), P.S.- Mushari, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Naresh Chandra Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Braj Kishore Pd.(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

8 02-09-2019 In this case earlier notice issued to the opposite party no.2 and report of Process Server disclosed that same has been received by father of the opposite party No.2 thereafter a petition has filed showing the jointness between opposite party No.2 and her father and there is no conflict between them. In such view of the matter the same is accepted.

Case is under Section 498A of the IPC with an allegation of demand of Rs.50,000/- and one motorcycle and for that tortured the complainant and ousted her from house.

Submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that prior to lodging of the complaint petition on 26.2.2016 a case has been filed by the father of the petitioner against one



Chandan Kumar and Sunita Devi for the offence under Section 364/34 of kidnapping of his daughter-in-law and as a matter of fact she has fled away with Chandan Kumar and due to which she has not appeared in this case.

Heard learned A.P.P.also.

Heard both sides. In view of the above facts and circumstances, let petitioner, above named in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within six weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Muzaffarpur in connection with Complaint Case No.1413 of 2016, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. and further condition is that one of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the Court concerned.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

T.Kr./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

