

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.2768 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8612 of 2016

=====

Shwet Prakash Son of Rameshwar Prasad Singh, Resident of Village Babu Ganj English, Post Office- Bhiti Kalan, P.S.- Siktaul, Dist.- Buxar. At present Residing at Mohalla- Mahatma Gandhi nagar, Bazar Samiti Road, P.O. Gajadharganj, Dist.- Buxar. At present posted and Working as Panchayat Teacher in Govt. Primary School Fakili, Sasaram, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar and Ors Bihar
2. Mr. R.K. Mahajan, the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Amir Subhani, The Principal Secretary, Personnel and Administrative Reforms Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. Mr. Arvind Kumar, the Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Pankaj Dikshit, the District Level Compassionate Appointment Committee through its Chairman, the District Magistrate, Rohtas (Sasaram)
6. Mr. Pankaj Dikshit, the District Magistrate, Rohtas Sasaram.
7. Mr. Manoj Kumar, The Deputy Collector (Establishment), Rohtas (Sasaram)
8. Mr. Mahendra Poddar, The District Education Officer, Rohtas (Sasaram)
9. Mr. Dewesh Chaudhary, The District Programme Officer (Establishment) Rohtas (Sasaram)
10. Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, The Block Education Officer, Kargahar, District- Rohtas (Sasaram)
11. Smt. Reeta Devi, The Mukhiya Gram Panchayat Raj Kalyanpur-cum-the Chairman of Panchayat Teacher Selection Committee, Block- Kargahar, Dist- Rohtas (Sasaram)
12. Mr. Bande Lal Ram, The Panchayat Secretary-cum-Member Secretary Kalyanpur, Panchayat Teacher Selection Committee, Block- Kargahar, Dist- Rohtas (Sasaram)

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Umesh Kumar Mishra
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

5 01-03-2019 Learned counsel for the petitioner submits although
the name of the petitioner after order of the writ court has been



rejected but the reasons are obnoxious.

Having regard to the limited scope of the contempt jurisdiction where the Court cannot enter into the merit of the decision taken by the opposite parties in compliance of the direction issued by the writ court, the court is not inclined to proceed any further. However, liberty shall be available to the petitioner to challenge the decision of the opposite parties in accordance with law in appropriate proceeding.

With the aforesaid, the present application stands disposed of.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

