

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15544 of 2018

=====

Rama Shankar Prasad, Son of Ramakant Rai, Village- Tara Dhaman, P.S.-
Tara Dhaman, District- Samastipur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar and Ors.
2. The Principle Secretary, Industry Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Technical Development Directorate Industry Department,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Directorate Industry Department, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Dayal, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Subhash Pd. Singh, GA-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 11-03-2019

It has been brought to the notice of this Court that during the pendency of the present writ petition, the services of four persons including the petitioner have been regularized, but from the date of issuance of the order of regularization and not from the date of their initial appointment, which privilege has been given to the other similarly situated employees.



2. This Court had asked for the affidavit of the State, indicating the reasons for the differential treatment between the petitioner and others whose services have been regularized from the date of their initial appointment. However, because of some communication gap, the same could not be filed.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is willing to represent his case before the Director, Directorate of Industries Department, Govt. of Bihar Patna (respondent No. 4), detailing his grievances, who be directed to dispose off the representation of the petitioner within a specified time frame.

4. The aforesaid prayer made on behalf of the petitioner appears to be logical and rational.

5. The petitioner is directed to make a representations before the Director, Directorate of Industries Department, Govt. of Bihar Patna (respondent No. 4), seeking regularization of his service from the date of his initial appointment, within a period of four weeks from today. Should such a representation be filed and pressed



before respondent No. 4, the same shall be disposed off within a further period of six weeks thereafter, by a reasoned order.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	13.03.2019
Transmission Date	N/A

