

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.3607 of 2019

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-216 Year-2018 Thana- NAANPUR District- Sitamarhi

1. Ram Briksha Sahni, son of Nageshwar Sahni Vill- Kharaka Tole Laxminiya Tol, P.S- Nanpur, Distt.-Sitamarhi.
2. Sachidanand Sahni @ Pramod Sahni @ Sachind Sahni,s/o.Nageshwar Sahni Vill-Kharaka Tole Laxminiya Tol, P.S-Nanpur, Distt.-Sitamarhi.
3. Shyam Sahni, s/o. Ramnandan Sahni Vill-Kharaka Tole Laxminiya Tol, P.S-Nanpur, Distt.-Sitamarhi.
4. Lalan Sahni, s/o. Ramnandan Sahni Vill-Kharaka Tole Laxminiya Tol, P.S-Nanpur, Distt.-Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjeet Kumar Mishra
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ashok Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN
ORAL ORDER

2 25-01-2019 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest for the offences alleged under Sections 147/148/149/341/323/307/379/504 IPC registered in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 216 of 2018.

3. It is submitted that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the backdrop of land dispute and there is case and counter case between the parties. The injuries are simple in nature. The petitioners claim clean antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances, in the event of the petitioners arrest or surrender before the court below within six weeks from the date of communication of this order, let the above named petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) each with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Sitamarhi in connection with Nanpur P.S. Case No. 216 of



2018 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C. and also subject to the following further conditions:

- i. That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.
- ii. That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.
- iii. That the petitioner shall co-operate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.
- iv. The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Chandran/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

