

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3613 of 2019

=====

Poonam Kumari W/o Anil Singh Resident of Village-Jajapur (Varma Khurd), Ward No.09, P.O. and P.S. and Prakhand Goh, Dist.-Aurangabad (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate, Aurangabad
3. The District Programme Officer, Aurangabad
4. The Chief Development Project Officer, Goh Dist.-Aurangabad
5. The Lady Supervisor of Anganbari Sevika of Goh Prakhand, Dist.-Aurangabad
6. Mukhia Verma Khurd Gram Panchayat, under Goh Panchayat, Prakhand Goh, Dist.-Aurangabad
7. Rina Kumari W/o Biranjan Kumar Resident of Jajapur, Verma Khurd, P.S. and Prakhand Goh, Dist.-Aurangabad

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Sinha
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gyan Prakash Ojha (Ga7)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 26-02-2019

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner, who is one of the applicants for being appointed to the post of Anganwari Sewika in Anganwari Centre, Ward No. 9 of Jajapur Village of Varma Khurd Gram Panchayat in the district of Aurangabad, is aggrieved by the fact that despite her being the most suitable candidate for



being appointed on the said post, private-respondent no. 7 has been appointed by-passing her claim.

Several grounds have been urged by the petitioner in the present writ petition indicating that the appointment of private-respondent no. 7 is not proper or in consonance with the Rules framed in that regard. However, it appears that the petitioner, without approaching the District Programme Officer, Aurangabad, has directly approached this Court for the needful.

In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the petitioner is directed to make a complaint / representation before the District Programme Officer, Aurangabad within a period of four weeks from today. On receipt of such representation, the District Programme Officer, Aurangabad shall, after hearing the parties, namely the petitioner, private-respondent no. 7 and other stake-holders, pass a reasoned order within a period of six weeks thereafter.

Needless to state that if the petitioner still remains aggrieved, she may appeal before the second appellate



authority, namely, the District Magistrate, Aurangabad for redressal of her grievance.

With the aforesaid observation / direction, this petition stands disposed off.

(Ashutosh Kumar, J)

skm/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	28.02.2019
Transmission Date	

